TRADEMARKS AND UNFAIR COMPETITION

James B. Astrachan

University of Baltimore School of Law Fall 2014

**OVERVIEW** 

This course considers the use of trademarks as a critical intellectual property device designed to

prevent consumer confusion over the origin of products and services and the misappropriation

of commercial goodwill. In addition to addressing traditional legal questions that arise from

acquiring, infringing upon, and losing trademark rights under the Lanham Act and the common

law, the course focuses upon special issues raised by the Internet; the free speech implications

of trademarks; and issues relating to false advertising and common law unfair competition.

**MEETING TIMES** 

The Trademarks and Unfair Competition class meets weekly at the University of Baltimore

School of Law in Room \_\_\_\_\_ on Mondays from 4:45 pm to 7:30 pm, with the

exception of holidays or cancellations.

**COURSE MATERIALS** 

The required course texts is:

Jane C. Ginsburg, Jessica Litman, Mary L. Kevlin, Trademark and Unfair Competition Law: Cases

and Materials, 5th ed.

Foundation Press, Hardcover

ISBN: 9781609300753

In addition, handouts may be provided periodically in class. Recommended resources are J.

Thomas McCarthy, McCarthy on Trademarks and Unfair Competition, and J. Astrachan, D.

Thomas and P. Rosden, The Law of Advertising.

**ATTENDANCE POLICIES** 

Attendance is mandatory and it is my practice to supplement this syllabus with material for class

discussion, some of which will find its way into the exam. The exam has often been 5-6 essay

questions, so if you miss 20 or 25 percent of the exam because the question was discussed in

class but was not contained in this syllabus, you will be unhappy. Advanced preparation as well

as class participation is expected. <u>Internet or other network access is prohibited during class.</u>

If I see you looking down and smiling, I will know it's not due to something I said. Audio or

video recording of classes is permitted, but is the sole responsibility of interested students.

Please try to be in your seat when class begins.

**SYLLABUS** 

\*\*\*THE BOLDED CASES AND TEXT ARE THE PAGES YOU MUST READ.

#### **Class 1: Introduction to Trademarks**

George & Company v. Imagination 575 F. 3d 383 (posted on TWEN)

## Casebook pp. 1-21

- 1. Top Tobacco, L.P v. North Atlantic Operating Company, Inc.
- 2. Restatement of the Law (Third) Unfair Competition §1
- 3. International News Service v. Associated Press
- 4. Cheney Bros v. Doris Silk Corp
- 5. Sears, Roebuck & Co. v. Stiffel Co.
- 6. Compco Corp v. Day-Brite Lighting, Inc.
- 7. Bonito Boats v. Thunder Craft Boats
- 8. National Basketball Association v. Motorola
- 9. Barclays Capital, Inc. v. Theflyonthewall.com

## Class 2: Concepts of Trademarks and Unfair Competition

Case Book pp. 21-56 (SKIM THIS MATERIAL)

**Subject Matter of Trademark Protection** 

### Case Book pp. 57-85

- 1. Kellogg Co. v. National Biscuit Co.
- 2. Coca-Cola Co. v. Koke Co. Of America
- 3. Peaceable Planet, Inc. v. TY, Inc.

- 4. Mishawaka Rubber & Woolen Manufacturing Co. v. S.S. Kresge Co.
- 5. Qualitex Co. v. Jacobson Products Co., Inc.
- 6. Traffix Devices, Inc. v. Market Displays, Inc.
- **7.** Note: Service Marks
- 8. Note: Trademark Actions Before the Trademark Tribunals and Before the Federal Judicial Courts

### **Class 3: Distinctiveness of Trademarks**

## Case Book pp. 85-114

- 1. Abercrombie & Fitch Co. v. Hunting World, Inc.
- In the Matter of the Application of Quik-Print Copy Shops,
   Inc.
- 3. Zobmondo Entertainment, LLC. V. Falls Media, LLC
- 4. In Re Vertex Group, LLC
- 5. Rock and Roll Hall of Fame and Museum v. Gentile
- 6. Wal-mart Stores, Inc. v. Samara Brothers, Inc.
- 7. American Waltham Watch Co. v. United States Watch Co.
- 8. Restatement of the Law (Third) Unfair Competition §13
- Board of Supervisors For Louisiana State University Agricultural and Mechanical College v. Smack Apparel Co.
- **10.** Chrysler Group LLC v. Moda Group LLC

- **11.** 15 U.S.C. §1054 [Lanham Act §4]
- **12.** 15 U.S.C. §1127 [Lanham Act §45]
- **13.** 15 U.S.C. §1064 [Lanham Act §14]

## **Class 4: Use and Ownership**

Case Book pp. 121-136

**Use in Commerce** 

## Case pp. 136-174

- 1. Thoroughbred Legends, LLC v. Walt Disney Co.
- 2. American Express Co. V. Gotez
- 3. Bell v. Streetwise Records, LTD
- 4. Crystal Entertainment & Filmworks Inc. v. Jurado
- 5. 15 U.S.C. §1127 [Lanham Act §45]
- 6. Note: Token Use
- 7. In Re Dell, Inc.
- 8. Larry Harmon Pictures Corp v. Williams Restaurant Corp.
- **9.** Note: Foreign Commerce
- 10. Grupo Gigante SA de CV v. Dallo & Co., Inc.
- 11. ITC LTD. V. Punchgini
- 12. Aktieselskabet AF 21. November 2001 v. Fame Jeans, Inc.
- 13. Blue Bell, Inc. v. Farah Manufacturing Co.

- 14. City of New York v. Tavern on the Green
- 15. United Drug Co. v. Theodore Rectanus Co.
- 16. Thirty Rent-A-Car System v. Thift Cars, Inc.
- 17. Dudley v. HealthSource Chiropractic Inc.,
- 18. Dawn Donut Co. v. Hart's Food Stores, Inc

### **Class 5: Registration of Trademarks**

## Case pp. 177-202

- 1. 15 U.S.C. §1051(a) [Lanham Act §1(a)]
- 2. 15 U.S.C. §1051(b) [Lanham Act §1(b)]
- 3. The Policies Underlying "Intent to Use"
- 4. Note: US Registration Under Section 44
- 5. Note: Madrid Protocol Extensions to the US
- 6. William M. Borchard, How to Get and Keep a Trademark
- 7. Note: Advantages of Trademark Registration on the Principal Register
- 8. Note: The Supplemental Register
- 9. Note: The Notice of Registration
- Note: Maintenance and Renewal of Registration: Sections 8 and 9
   of the Lanham Act
- 11. Constructive Use as of Filing Date
- 12. Larami Corp. v. Talk to Me Programs, Inc.

**13.** Compagnie Gervais Danone v. Precision Formulations, LLC

# Bars to Registration

### Case pp. 203-278

- Section 2(a) of the Lanham Act: Immoral, Scandalous,
   Disparaging or Deceptive Matter and False Suggestion of a
   Connection
- 2. In Re Fox
- Boston Red Sox Baseball Club Limited Partnership v.
   Sherman
- 4. In Re Lebanese Arak Corp.
- 5. Bayer Aktiengesellschaft v. Stamatios Mouratidis
- Note: The Difference Between Deceptive Terms and Deceptively
   Misdescriptive Terms
- 7. Hornby v. TJX Companies, Inc.
- **8.** 15 U.S.C. § 1052(b) and (c) [Lanham Act § 2(b) and (c)]
- **9.** Note: Refusals Under 2(b)
- **10.** In re Richard M. Hoefflin
- 11. Section 2(d) of The Lanham Act: Likely Confusion
- 12. Coach Services, Inc v. Triumph Learning LLC
- 13. Note: Differences in Likely Confusion Analysis for Registration and for Infringement Purposes

- **14.** In Re Viterra Inc.
- 15. Nutrasweet Co v K&S Foods Inc.
- 16. Person's Co. v. Christman
- 17. First Niagara Ins. v. First Niagara Financial
- 18. Sections 2(e)(2) and (3) of the Lanham Act: Geographic Terms
- 19. In Re Joint-Stock Company "Baik"
- 20. In Re Miracle Tuesday, LLC
- 21. In re Spirits International, NV
- 22. Note: Special Protection for Wines and Spirits
- 23. Note: Geographically Suggestive Marks
- 24. 15 U.S.C. §1502 [Lanham Act §2(e)(4)]
- 25. In Re Quadrillion Publishing Ltd
- 26. Note: Numerals, Letters and Initials
- 27. 15 U.S.C.§1052(e)(5) [Lanham Act §2(e)(5)]
- 28. In Re Becton, Dickinson and Co.
- 29. In Re Vertex Group LLC

### **Class 6: Loss of Trademark Rights**

### Casebook pp. 279-314

- 1. Bayer Co. v. United Drug Co.
- 2. Stix Products, Inc. v. United Merchants & Mfrs., Inc.
- 3. Note: Protecting Trademarks Against Genericism

- 4. King-Seeley Thermos Co. v. Aladdin Industries, Inc.
- 5. El Dupont De Nemours & Co. v. Yoshida International, Inc.
- 6. In re Country Music Association
- 7. Note: Legislative "Clarification" of Standards to Assess Genericism
- 8. Note: De Facto Secondary Meaning
- 9. America Online, Inc. v. AT&T Corp.
- 10. Facebook, Inc. v. Teachbook.com
- 11. Welding Services Inc. v. Forman
- 12. Harley Davidson v. Grottanelli
- 13. H-D Michigan v. Top Quality Serv.
- 14. Note: Dial 1-800-[G-E-N-E-R-I-C]
- 15. Recapturing Generic Terms?
- 16. Miller's Ale House v. Boynton Carolina Ale House

### Casebook page 315-348

- 17. 15 USC §1127 [Lanham Act §45]
- 18. Silverman v. CBS, Inc.
- 19. ITC Limited v. Punchgini
- 20. Crash Dummy movie v. Mattel, Inc.
- 21. Specht v. Google, Inc.
- 22. Grocery Outlet Inc. v. Albertson's Inc.
- 23. Note: The Song is Ended (but the Melody Lingers on)

- 24. American Association for Justice v. American Trial Lawyer's
  Ass'n
- 25. Clark & Freeman Corp. v. Heartland Co. Ltd.
- 26. Eva's Bridal Ltd v. Halanick Enterprises, Inc.
- 27. Freecycle Sunnyvale v. Freecycle Network
- 28. Patsy's Italian Restaurant v. Banas

Infringement - Defendant's use in Commerce pp. 349-366 & Infringement - Secondary Liability for Trademark Infringement Casebook pp. 444-489

- 1. 15 USC Section 1114 [Lanham Act Section 32(1)]
- 2. Naked Cowboy v. CBS
- 3. Karl Storz Endoscopy-America, Inc. v. Surgical Technologies, Inc.
- 4. 1-800 Contacts, Inc. v. Lens.com, Inc.
- 5. Rescue.com Corp v. Google, Inc.
- 6. Note: Use in Commerce and the Debate Over "Trademark Use"
- 7. Steele v. Bulova Watch Co.
- 8. McBee v. Delica
- 9. Inwood Labs., Inc. v. Ives Labs., Inc.
- 10. Georgia Pacific v. Von Drehle; Georgia Pacific v. Myers
- 11. Tiffany and Company v. Ebay, Inc.
- 12. Rosetta Stone Ltd. V. Google, Inc.

- 13. 15 USC Section 1125(a)(1)(A) [Lanham Act Section 43(a)(1)(A)]
- 14. Note: The Expanding Score of Section 43(a)
- 15. DC Comics v. Powers
- 16. Pretty Girl, Inc. v. Pretty Girl Fashions, Inc.
- 17. Two Pesos, Inc. v. Taco Cabana, Inc.
- 18. Hammerton, Inc. v. Heisterman
- 19. Louis Vuitton Malletier v. Dooney & Bourke, Inc.
- 20. Conopco, Inc. v. May Dept. Stores Co.
- 21. McNeil Nutritionals, LLC v. Heartland Sweeteners, LLC

Infringement Casebook pp. 366-444 -- Likelihood of Confusion

- Restatement of the Law (Third) Unfair Competition Section 20
   Standard of Infringement
  - 2. Polaroid Corp v. Polarad Elects. Corp.
- 3. Restatement of the Law (Third) Unfair Competition Section 21 Proof of Likelihood of Confusoin: Market Factors
  - 4. E&J Gallo Winery v. Consorzio Del Gallo Nero
  - 5. Banfi Products Corp. v. Kendall-Jackson Winery Ltd
  - 6. Leelanau Wine Cellars, Ltd v. Black & Red, Inc.
  - 7. Note: Is Likelihood of Confusion a Question of Fact or a Question of Law?

- 8. Maker's Mark Distillery, Inc. v. Diageo North America, Inc.
- 9. Robert G. Bone, Taking the Confusion Out of Likelihood of Confusion: Towards a More Sensible Approach to Trademark Infringement
- 10. Mobil Oil Corp v. Pegasus Petroleum Corp
- 11. Blockbuster Entertainment Group v. Laylco, Inc.
- Network Automation, Inc. v. Advanced Systems Concepts,
   Inc.
- 13. Rosetta Stone Ltd. v. Google, Inc.
- 14. Mastercrafters Clock & Radio Co. v. Vacheron & Constantin-Le Coultre Watches, Inc.
- 15. Jeremy N. Sheff, Veblen Brands
- 16. Munsingwear, Inc. v. Jockey International
- Harlem Wizards Entertainment Basketball, Inc. v. NBA Properties,
   Inc.
- 18. Dreamwerks Production, Inc v. SKG Studio
- 19. Medic Alert Foundation v. Corel Corp
- 20. Anheuser-Busch, Inc. v. Balducci Publications

Casebook 489-540 – Marketing Concepts and Techniques & False Endorsement & False Designation of Origin

- 1. Original Appalachian Artworks, Inc v. The Toy Loft, Inc.
- 2. Jeffrey Milstein, Inc. v. Greger, Lawlor, Roth, Inc.
- 3. Best Cellars Inc. v. Grape Finds at Dupont, Inc.
- 4. Best Cellars v. Wine Made Simple
- 5. Note: Rights of Publicity and Section 43(a)
- 6. Allen v. National Video, Inc.
- 7. Tom Waits v. Frito-Lay, Inc.
- 8. White v. Samsung Electronics America, Inc.
- 9. America Online v. LCGM, Inc.
- **10.** Note: Authors' and Performer' Moral Rights
- 11. Dastar Corporation v. Twentieth Century Fox Film Corp.
- 12. Bretsford Mfg., Inc. v. Smith System Mfg. Corp.

#### Casebook 541-605

### **Defenses to Infringement**

- 1. 15 U.S.C §1065 [Lanham Act Section 15]
- 2. Note: Section 33 of the Lanham Act
- 3. Park 'N Fly, Inc. v. Dollar Park and Fly, Inc.
- **4.** Note: Incontestable Registration and Strength of the Mark
- 5. In Re Bose Corp.

- 6. Sovereign Military Hospitaller Order of Saint John of Jerusalem of Rhodes and of Malta v. Florida Priory of the Knights Hospitallers of the Sovereign Order of Saint John of Jerusalem, Knights of Malta, The Ecumenical Order
- **7.** Fair Use: Section 33(b)(4)
- 8. United States Shoe Corp. v. Brown Group Inc.
- **9.** Kelly-Brown v. Winfrey
- 10. Car-Freshner Corp. v. S.C. Johnson & Son Inc.
- 11. KP Permanent Make-Up, Inc. v. Lasting Impression I, Inc.
- 12. Jay Franco & Sons, Inc. v. Franek
- Christian Louboutin SA v. Yves St Laurent America Holding,
   Inc.
- 14. Au-Tomotive Gold, Inc. v. Volkwagen of America
- 15. Pro-Football Inc v. Harjo
- Oriental Fin. Group, Inc. v. Cooperativa de Ahorro y Credito
   Oriental
- 17. New kids on the Block v. News America Publishing
- 18. Swarovski Aktiengesellscaft v. Buidling # 19, Inc.
- 19. Kassbaum v. Steppenwolf Productions, Inc.
- 20. WCVB-TV v. Boston Athletic Association
- 21. Toyota Motor Sales USA, Inc. v. Tabari

#### Class 11

## **Defenses to Infringement**

### 605-664

- **1.** Note: Failed Nominative Fair Use Defenses
- 2. Smith v. Chanel, Inc.
- 3. College Savings Bank v . Florida Prepaid Postsecondary Education Expense Board
- 4. Rogers v. Grimaldi
- Louis Vuitton Malletier SA v. Warner Brothers
   Entertainment Inc
- 6. ESS Entertainment 2000, Inc. v. Rock Star Videos, Inc.
- Cliffs Notes, Inc. v. Bantam Doubleday Dell Publishing
   Group, Inc.
- 8. Dr. Seuss Enterprises, LP v. Penguin Books USA, Inc
- Rochelle Dreyfuss, Reconciling Trademark Rights and Expressive
   Values: How to Stop Worrying and Learn to Love Ambiguity
- **10.** Mattel Inc v. Universal Music International
- 11. Mattel Inc v. Walking Mountain Productions
- 12. Protectmarriage.com v. Courage Campaign

### Class 12

#### Dilution

#### 665-752

- 1. Barton Beebe, The Suppressed Misappropriation Origins of Trademark Antidilution Law: The Langericht Elberfeld's Odol Decision and Frank Schecter's The Rational Basis of Trademark Protection
- 2. Sara Stadler, The Wages of Ubiquity in Trademark Law
- 3. Ty Inc. v. Perryman
- 4. Rebecca Tushnet, Gone in 60 Milliseconds: Trademark Law and Cognitive Science
- **5.** Barton Beebe, Intellectual Property Law and The Sumptuary Code
- **6.** Note: History of Federal Dilution Statute
- 7. 15 USC Section 1125© [Lanham Act Section 43(c)]
- National Pork Board v. Supreme Lobster and Seafood
   Company
- 9. Note: Surveying Dilution by "Blurring"
- 10. Coach Services, Inc. v. Triumph learning LLC
- 11. Visa International Service Association v. JSL Corp.
- 12. Rolex Watch USA Inc v. AFP Imaging Corporation
- 13. V Secret Catalogue, Inc. v. Moseley
- 14. Louis Vuitton Malletier SA v. Haute Diggity Dog, LLC

- 15. Starbucks Corp v. Wolfe's Borough Coffee, Inc.
- Stacey L. Dogan & Mark A. Lemley, Parody as Brand (November 2, 2012)
- 17. The Hershey Company v. Art Van Furniture, Inc.
- 18. Levi Strauss & Co. v. Abercrombie & Fitch Trading Co.
- Mastercard International Inc v. Nader 2000 Primary
   Committee, Inc.
- 20. Mattel, Inc. v. Universal Music International
- 21. Louis Vuitton Malletier SA v. Hyundai Motor America
- 22. Dilution Under State Law

## **False Advertising**

### Page 753-814

- Rebecca Tushnet, Running the Gamut from A to B: Federal
   Trademark and False Advertising Law
- 15 USC Section 1125(a)(1)(B) [Lanham Act Section
   43(a)(1)(B)]
- Gordon and Breach Science Publishers SA v. America Institute of Physics
- 4. Fashion Boutique of Short Hills, Inc. v. Fendi USA, Inc.

- 5. Neuros Co., Ltd. v. KTurbo, Inc.
- 6. Coca-Cola Co. v. Tropicana Prods., Inc.
- 7. United Industries Corp. v. Clorox Co.
- 8. Schick Manufacturing, Inc. v. The Gillette Company
- Clorox Co., Puerto Rico v. Proctor & Gamble Commercial
   Co.
- 10. Autodesk, Inc. v. Dassault Systemes Solidworks Corp
- 11. Church & Dwight Co v The Clorox Company
- 12. Innovation Ventures LLC v. NVE, Inc.
- 13. Coors Brewing Company v Anheuser-Busch Co.
- 14. McNeil-PPC, Inc. v. Pfizer Inc.
- 15. Pernod Ricard USA, LLC v. Bacardi USA, Inc.
- 16. Serbin v. Ziebart International Corp.
- 17. Ortho Pharmaceutical Corp. v. Cosprophar, Inc.
- 18. Famous Horse, Inc. v. 5<sup>th</sup> Avenue Photo, Inc.
- Please read the Lexmark International, Inc. v. Static Control
   Components, Inc. (it is listed on the course materials page).

# Remedies JBA PLEASE CHOOSE WHICH CASES YOU WOULD LIKE TO BE BOLD

### Pages 925-981

- 1. Nova Wines, Inc. v. Adler Fels Winery LLC
- 2. Note: Presumption of Irreparable Harm

- 3. Note: Centrality of Injunctive Relief and Use of Alternative Dispute Resolution
- 4. Home Box Office v. Showtime
- 5. Soltex Polymer Corp. v. Fortex Industries, Inc.
- 6. Perfect Fit Indus. v. Acme Quilting Co.
- 7. Nikon, Inc. v. Ikon Corp.
- 8. Gucci America, Inc. v. Daffy's, Inc.
- 9. Maker's Mark Distillery, Inc. v. Diageo North America, Inc.
- 10. Already, LLC d/b/a Yums v. Nike, Inc.
- 11. Taco Cabana Int'l, Inc. v. Two Pesos, Inc.
- 12. Banjo Buddies, Inc. v. Renosky
- 13. Big O Tire Dealers, Inc. v. Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co.
- 14. U-Haul International, Inc. v. Jartran, Inc.
- 15. Nightingale Home Healthcare, Inc. v. Anodyne Therapy, LLC
- 16. Trafficschool.com Inc. v. Edriver Inc.
- 17. Note: The Problem of Counterfeiting
- 18. 15 USC § 1127 [Lanham Act § 45]
- 19. Rolex Watch, USA, Inc. v. Michel Co.
- 20. Hunting World, Inc. v. Reboans
- 21. Century 21 Real Estate, LLC v. Destiny Real Estate Properties
- 22. 18 USC § 2320
- 23. United States v. Torkington

STOPPED HERE WITH REMEDIES (PAGE 981) – LET ME KNOW IF YOU WANT TO GO ANY FURTHER.

# **FACULTY INFORMATION**

Professor James B. Astrachan

E-mail: jastrachan@agtlawyers.com

Work Phone: 410-783-3520

Office Location: Astrachan Gunst Thomas Rubin, P.C.

217 E. Redwood Street

Suite 2100

Baltimore, Maryland 21202