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SUMMARY:

... In 1993, Congress authorized a community and economic development program called The Empowerment Zone and Enterprise Cities Demonstration Program ("Empowerment Zones Program") to create geographic zones within certain selected cities that would be eligible for special federal attention to alleviate pervasive conditions of poverty and economic distress within the cities. ... More particularly, this approach is reminiscent of a prior federally initiated community development program of the War on Poverty era - the Model Cities program - while also drawing from the economic development program of the 1980s - enterprise zones. ... Notwithstanding Hall's cautionary admonition that his idea was an extreme model for exploring possible approaches and, in particular, that he did not recommend it as a solution for urban ills, the "freeport" idea was embraced by Sir Geoffrey Howe, economic spokesman for the British Conservative Party, who became the leading proponent of adopting the enterprise zone approach as the method for renovating and revitalizing abandoned industrial areas in Britain. ... A simple way to confront the structural issues is to ask a critical question: Can the Empowerment Zones approach reduce inner-city neighborhood marginalization and return a whole category of place into the mainstream of social and economic life? Ironically, the Empowerment Zones Program's utilization of a geographically targeted economic development program does not reduce marginalization but, in fact, reinforces and exacerbates ghetto marginalization for a number of reasons. ...

TEXT:

[295]

1. Introduction

In 1993, Congress authorized a community and economic development program called The Empowerment Zone and Enterprise Cities Demonstration Program ("Empowerment Zones Program") to create geographic zones within certain selected cities that would be eligible for special federal attention to alleviate pervasive conditions of poverty and economic distress within the cities. The program is self-described as a strategy "to create jobs and business opportunities in [the] most economically-distressed areas of inner cities" by providing tax incentives and social service funds within the zone to stimulate business creation and expansion and attain, over the long-term, revitalization of the distressed community. The Empowerment Zones Program promises a definitive counter to all rules of inner city existence and targets federal financial resources to these distressed areas as a signal that the inner city should no longer be shunned...
by business and neglected by government. Rather, inner city communities are now to be reunderstood (i.e., reconfigured in our understanding) in terms of their underestimated or overlooked potential, assets, and resources. The Empowerment Zones Program also promises improved inter-agency coordination, to streamline potentially conflicting federal programs to work in favor of developing Empowerment Zone communities, and to establish a federal government-wide priority for funding programs that benefit the Empowerment Zones. n6 In concept, with the right configuration of local, state and federal government programmatic priorities, all of the necessary developmental processes will take place to facilitate a thriving inner city economy and, inevitably, an improved quality of life. In addition to the economic revitalization, the zones are also to be the site of democratic governance and community decision-making and participation. n7 To that end, the program envisions a holistic, inclusive process of planning, implementation, and development.

The Empowerment Zones Program is noteworthy because, apart from [*297] recent federal welfare reform legislation, n8 the geographic, self-help approach embodied in the program is currently the only explicitly urban federal policy initiative. Also, the term "empowerment" n9 suggests something new and exciting - the liberation of poor people. Specifically, the program encourages economically disadvantaged people to work effectively on their own behalf to eliminate their own economic suffering. The program is considered different from previous urban revitalization efforts because its emphasis is on business and job development rather than the mere provision of social services. n10 Also, local communities are intended to drive the decision-making. According to literature from the Department of Housing and Urban Development, "residents decide what happens in their neighborhoods, not federal officials in Washington." n11 Therefore, the Empowerment Zones Program not only embraces economic development as the preferred approach to remediating poverty in the cities, but also promises to correct the deficiencies of prior urban revitalization programs that excluded community input from the development process. Essentially, the program is viewed as a new and improved urban development program, neutrally and rationally tailored to address the complexities of the causes of and solutions to inner city poverty.

This Article examines the extent to which the Empowerment Zones Program can be properly viewed as a neutral, rational, and beneficial program for poor, inner-city communities and their residents by exploring the limits and potential of its chief mechanism, economic development, as a tool to achieve social justice for the inner cities. This Article grounds its exploration within the contested terrain of the city, not simply as a legal or juridical concept, but in terms of its reality as a "lived place" on the eve of the 21st century. n12 By explicating some of [*298] the unwritten rules and processes of economic development in their proper context, this Article demonstrates that economic development is not a neutral policy that government can advance without addressing significant structural issues that externally impact inner-city communities. Probably one of the most significant issues is that the program is applied within many cities that are places laden with racialized meaning and are encumbered by the unstated, yet popular, consensus that the problems of the inner cities should be contained. In particular, this Article examines: 1) the legacy of historic programs that have led to current metropolitan configurations characterized by a disparity between declining inner cities and growing edge cities; 2) the impact of globalization and technology; and 3) the present "lived" urban context of racialized space that manifests itself not only in a chasm between city and suburb, but also as an intra-city chasm between elite business interests and poor people. Parts II and III of this Article examines the genesis of the Empowerment Zones concept from the rise in popularity of economic development, both internationally and domestically, as a tool to attain social justice. Part IV explores the context of the "lived" city as both an affluent place for the global elite and marginalized ghetto neighborhoods that have been racialized and "class"ified as black and poor. Part V analyzes the Empowerment Zones Program's emphasis on jobs and the promise of federal funding priority for designated zones. This Article concludes by recommending that we ought to begin to rethink inner city development as an instrument to further economic, social, and geographic justice.

II. The Development Concept

A. Understanding Development Through the Lens of International Development Critiques

The Empowerment Zones Program utilizes development as the method of choice for responding to poverty and unemployment in the inner cities. The concept of development connotes favorable change or the moving from "inferior to the superior [and] from worse to better," n13 [*299] and seems compatible with the common conviction that only by self-help can individual persons and geographic areas progress from their current plight. Development as a social ideal envisions people and communities actively determining their own condition and future, rather than passively being affected by others. Accordingly, development is a tofu-like principle - it is a neutral medium, capable of absorbing any flavor, view, or idea as to the appropriate or desirable method to improve currently unacceptable conditions in a particular geographic area. Therefore, in the abstract, development is a noncontroversial concept. It is considered as acceptable, if
not desirable, by people of widely varying economic, social, and political backgrounds because it suggests improvement, progress, and even social justice. But in reality, development is a conservative principle. It seeks only that change which is in harmony with a set of existing rules and relationships, and assumes that new value and wealth can be created within these boundaries. Development also prioritizes or addresses social problems only to the extent the problem can be transformed into one with an exchange value and thereby exhibits the potential for private profit. For this reason, communities in critical need of conversion or revitalization remain largely neglected unless and until a private entity finds, or government creates, a profitable opportunity to exploit. n14

When cities and communities embraced self-help through the process of development, they adopted a concept both accepted and contested. n15 Development, as a concept, has been soundly criticized both for its focus and for its methods. The most salient criticism concerns development's exclusively localized view, which focuses on the specific problems of the targeted poor or disadvantaged community rather than seeing these problems in the context of a totality. n16 This myopic approach effectively ensures that those who are poor or disadvantaged remain isolated from the problems of others who are similarly situated, thereby inhibiting, if [*300] not preventing, collective organizing. n17 Therefore, rather than an exclusively localized approach, a dialectical perspective, one that understands a local community both as a totality in itself and as part of a larger totality, is called for. Under this more comprehensive view, development of a local community cannot properly occur except in interaction with the other parts of the total context of which the local community is a part. n18 Moreover, such a dialectical perspective is relevant to a critical analysis of the Empowerment Zones Program. This perspective properly focuses the examination of development in the United States by training our sights not only on the communities targeted by the program but also on the greater context within which they exist. As used herein, the "totality" represents more than an emphasis on a larger physical area; rather, the "totality" also encompasses the concepts, history, and purposes associated with development and the specific targeted communities.

Development has also been criticized for being supported by a discourse that regards economic development as an unambiguously favorable process that must go forward at all times and, often, at any cost. This discourse is based on a system of relations among the economic, cultural and institutional elements of society, shaped by forms of power in terms of class, gender and race, that creates a space in which only certain things can be said or even imagined. n19 The development discourse, therefore, embodies a "top-down, ethnocentric, and technocratic approach, which treats people and cultures as abstract concepts, statistical figures to be moved up and down in the charts of "progress." n20 When the "Third World" as a concept emerged following World War II and development emerged as a response to the then recent "problemization" of poverty, it was viewed as a scientific technique for economic and social improvement. n21 Colonial regions of the world were declared under-developed, culturally backwards, and in need of [*301] "Westernizing." n22 These regions and countries were uniformly defined in terms of what they lacked in relation to the West: they were not modern, they were not financially wealthy, and they were not industrial - i.e., they were not First World, they were Third World. Rural people who earned a subsistence living were routinely considered impoverished under Western economic standards such as "per capita income." The standard approach promoted urbanization and industrialization while invariably disregarding any adverse impacts of this approach, including deterioration of community ties and deprivation of general "access to land, water, and other resources." n23 Development became a seemingly methodical set of interventions carried out by batteries of Western experts who sponsored and supervised decades of experimental economic programs ranging from village-based community development projects in the 1940s and 1950s, to large-scale, elite-enhancing, industrialization projects in the 1960s and 1970s. More recently, these programs have returned to people-centered, village-based community development strategies. n24

The retreat from the large-scale industrialization approach of the 1960s and 1970s was due to the now apparently flawed assumption that there is one ideal standard of economic production and self-sufficiency in the world applicable to both Third World and First World countries. n25 This approach also ignored the viable cultures and knowledge systems existing in Third World countries. n26 As a result, people-centered, [*302] village-based community development programs now flourish in an effort to counteract the shortcomings and destructive tendencies of large-scale industrialization. Nevertheless, even village-based development has been criticized for applying a uniform economic prescription to all countries regardless of cultural, historical, and economic context. n27 In particular, village-based programs fail to address structural causes of poverty. n28 Development fails to consider how the Western-dominated world economic system operates to subordinate Third World populations and to perpetuate conditions of poverty and economic instability within Third World countries.

Development in poor communities in the United States is closely related in principle and application to international development techniques. First, development techniques like the Empowerment Zones Program are designed to be applied
in geographic areas within the United States that are the functional equivalent of the Third World – i.e., inner-city, low-income neighborhoods. These areas can be considered the functional equivalent of the Third World because they are dramatically out of sync with the standards of wealth in surrounding urban and suburban geographic areas that enjoy middle class or higher levels of status and affluence. n30 Considered underdeveloped rather than undeveloped, inner-city ghettos are described in terms of obsolescence and dysfunction, culturally perverted and not in keeping with Western, middle-class norms. n31 Therefore, development within the United States manifests itself under a slightly different rubric – the rubric of redevelopment, revitalization, and renewal – all of which are processes of adjustment to urban economic decline. Second, similar to the Third World development experience, domestic development techniques must be practiced by a range of experts who impart knowledge and provide \[*303\] assistance to client communities who, without this assistance, would be unable to access or navigate the highly specialized bodies of knowledge required to obtain financing, organize projects, and traverse legal requirements. Third, economic development is supported by an appealingly can-do discourse: everyone is better off from the pursuit of economic development because citizens will be employed, state and local government will have an increased tax base with which to promote the general health, safety, and welfare, and local politicians can proudly claim credit for the number of jobs created at the next election. The discourse fails, however, to take into account the structural and relational constraints placed on certain geographic places, like low-income, inner-city communities, that are currently marginalized and increasingly disconnected from the mainstream of American society. Finally, development in the United States is focused inward, within low-income, inner-city communities, rather than outward, toward the structural forces that impact and contribute to the conditions within those communities.

B. The Domestic Trilogy of Economic, Community, and Community Economic Development

The development concept is broken down into three somewhat distinct subconcepts that heavily overlap: economic development, community development, and community economic development. The American Economic Development Council defines economic development as the "process of creating wealth through the mobilization of human, financial, capital, physical and natural resources to generate marketable goods and services.” n32 Ideally, the process is one in which, over a long period of time, real per capita income of a country increases while, simultaneously, the number of people below a chosen poverty line and the distribution of income remains constant. n33 Economic development is, therefore, measured both linearly and distributionally, by the extent to which economic wealth among citizens is increased. While this measurement can take place on an international, national, regional, state, or city level, in the United States the rubric of economic development usually involves a unit of analysis at the state or local level and traditionally has involved the efforts of both the private and public sectors. n34

\[*304\] At the local level, economic development (i.e., local economic development) is best understood as a set of state and local governmental practices designed to encourage the creation of new business or the relocation of existing businesses from one geographic area to another. n35 Because business firms provide employment and pay taxes, directing resources to business firms can, arguably, foster long-term economic self-sufficiency and well-being by ensuring conditions conducive to business strength. Economic development involves fashioning and directing state and local institutional structures and practices in a way that is supportive of and attractive to business firms. n36 It also typically involves consciously competitive tactics by geographic areas (states and localities) to position themselves as the most attractive sites for business firm relocations. These tactics include providing an infrastructure to support new developments and making available tax-exempt industrial development bond financing, low-interest loans, grants, land acquisition cost subsidies, tax reductions, and employment credits. n37

Community development, by contrast, refers to social, human, and physical structure development activities at the neighborhood or community level. n38 As discussed above, international efforts to promote development in Third World countries have involved community development methods. Once disillusionment with large-scale international industrialization development projects set in because such projects failed to provide economic benefits to the vast majority of both urban and rural populations, non-governmental organizations began to advocate village-based projects designed to directly enhance local economic and living conditions. n39 In the domestic, local context, community development is a "place-based," self-help strategy undertaken by ordinary people in their communities. n40 The theory is that \[*305\] by encouraging the social, physical, and human development of a neighborhood or community, overall quality of life (health, income, and safety) can be improved. n41 Ideally, local community residents assume the mantle of taking proactive steps to protect their community by engaging in various activities, including neighborhood patrols, neighborhood clean ups, and protests against liquor stores or billboards peddling cigarettes and liquor in residential areas. n42 These types
of projects often serve to mobilize and inspire participants to tackle larger projects such as fixing up dilapidated buildings to provide affordable housing or community centers. n43 Ideally, community development allows ordinary citizens to come together to conceive of ways to address common problems, from something as simple as clean streets and sidewalks or recreational opportunities to health initiatives, housing development, crime prevention or neighborhood watches. By creating the opportunity for residents to have a say about what happens in their communities and neighborhoods, the underlying theory of community development is that the actual experience of personal involvement in leadership, organization, citizen mobilization, goal-setting, planning, decision-making, negotiation, and implementation leads to personal growth. n44 The reality is that most development projects are controlled by [*306] professional community development corporations that allow for varying degrees of community control or input. n45

Locally-initiated community development has lead to miraculous changes in communities where none could be expected, where conventional wisdom had written off entire communities as beyond hope. n46 Well-known examples include the Dudley Street Neighborhood Initiative in Roxbury, Massachusetts; n47 the Banana Kelly Community Improvement Association and the Mid–Bronx Desperados (MBD Community Housing Corporation) in the South Bronx, New York; n48 the New Community Corporation in Newark, New Jersey, n49 and the Tacolcy Economic Development Corporation in Miami, Florida. n50 Rather than bow to the inevitability that a neighborhood is doomed, community development is often a gutsy project of valuing the community based on its assets rather than its apparent deficits. Instead, the attitude towards community is reconfigured to recognize the assets in the community and to make a concerted effort to harness, promote, and enhance these assets through an array of complementary programs and activities designed to shore up the community against further economic and social devastation and to "develop" the community so as to enhance the quality of life therein. Owing to a number of unexpected and seemingly miraculous [*307] successes in sprucing up or rejuvenating portions of physically devastated neighborhood space, community development has become quite popular and today receives widespread, nearly universal, support.

On the other hand, community development adopts a narrow view of the problems and issues facing a neighborhood or other community. It tacitly accepts that neither poverty nor racial segregation n51 can be eliminated. Instead, community development simply focuses on "place" as the locus of an individual's rights and attempts to selectively endow that place with some of the privileges enjoyed by other more fortunate places.

The concept of economic development at the community level, or community economic development, has gained wide recognition and acceptance in recent years. Adding the term "economic" to community development is significant—it seems to get a wider range of people to take a community and its self-help efforts seriously because economic development seems to be concrete and rational. n52 It also bestows a certain pragmatic panache associated with the term "economic" on its practitioners (community development corporations), which legitimates them in the eyes of citizens, foundations and corporate donors. n53 If we momentarily consider development as an absolute, fixed practice, then community economic development can be characterized as a hybrid process that promotes the overall quality of life in a neighborhood by viewing the neighborhood holistically and valuing its human assets. Community economic development also treats the neighborhood as a discrete and insular economic unit. The focus becomes one of encouraging the creation of new business and the relocation of existing business in the targeted neighborhood in order to improve the economic conditions for residents of that area. While community economic development borrows its approach, technique, and rhetoric from its cousin, economic development, the focus of community economic development is tuned down a notch or two to the level of the neighborhood, as opposed to the entire city or the state. The goal then becomes to move community development activities beyond housing rehabilitation and improved service delivery into activities that convert the target neighborhoods into full-service communities that meet both [*308] housing needs and commercial needs. n54 Popular projects include supermarkets, small retail shopping centers, n55 as well as micro-enterprise business incubators. n56 Other techniques include small-business counseling and peer lending circles. n57 In this manner, community economic development assumes a significant burden: finding an economic niche for older residential neighborhoods by ostensibly re-creating them as self-sufficient economic units.

[*309]

C. Development as a Post–Civil–Rights–Era Strategy

The Empowerment Zones Program's embrace of economic development as the mechanism for achieving social justice n58 through economic prosperity and parity can in some ways be understood as a post-civil-rights-era approach appropriate for an era where systemic disparities of wealth, life opportunities, or plain discrimination are impossible to
The first step should be understanding how legal structures ratify and support structural constraints on inner city development communities. The development of understanding how legal structures ratify and support structural constraints on inner city help in understanding the social production of space, how social spatiality is constructed and organized and expressed. Involves asking how law shapes geographies. Legal understandings and knowledge of law should be applied to legal scholars, to ask how law and geography are linked. According to Edward Soja, linking law and geography quietly facilitates the urban development process. Therefore, law is relegated to what is viewed as the unambiguously neutral, impartial, and supportive position it is consistent with the prevailing view that law should be limited to ensuring equal opportunity rather than equality of outcome. Economic development is favored because minority set-asides in public contracting (assuring a portion of the public marketplace) are popularly deemed a threat to the delicate balance between the free market and regulation. In other words, economic development is favored because redistribution of wealth to benefit the poor is unthinkable. Rather, an individualized "do what you can do to help yourself" approach is popularly embraced. Accordingly, both conservatives and progressives have converged in their support of self-help approaches to problems faced by poor communities. Arguably, the conservative support of self-help strategies is based, in part, on a pervasive assumption that opportunities abound for improving one's condition through hard work, struggle, and perseverance. The subtext of this assumption is that claims of discrimination, exclusion, and disability are claims for preferential treatment or privileged-victim status and should be disregarded. Arguably, the progressive support of community development strategies is part of an ongoing process to refashion the rhetoric and methods of an effective post-civil rights agenda for social justice.

As a post-civil rights strategy, however, it is incumbent on the proponents of development, particularly lawyers and legal scholars, to ask how law and geography are linked. According to Edward Soja, "linking law and geography involves...asking...how law shapes geographies...Legal understandings and knowledge of law [should be] applied to help in understanding the social production of space, how social spatiality is constructed and organized and expressed." The first step should be understanding how legal structures ratify and support structural constraints on inner city communities.

D. Dissecting the Empowerment Zones Program's Attempt to Split the Difference Between Economic and Community Development
Rather than focusing on structural constraints faced by the communities the program is meant to benefit, the Empowerment Zones Program focuses on combining economic development with community development to address economic development's inability, standing alone, to address the range of problems facing impoverished urban neighborhoods. The program, therefore, stands apart from other urban development programs in its utilization of two seemingly consistent, but ultimately divergent, approaches to development: economic development and community development. More particularly, this approach is reminiscent of a prior federally initiated community development program of the War on Poverty era – the Model Cities program – while also drawing from the economic development program of the 1980s - enterprise zones. As part of the economic development emphasis, the Empowerment Zones statute makes available wage tax credits to employers for each employee who resides within the zone and performs services in a trade or business of the employer located within the zone. n78 It also provides accelerated depreciation for certain business property and tax-exempt facility bonds for lower-cost financing to induce commercial investment and foster job creation within impoverished inner-city neighborhoods. n79 While a number of critics have argued that tax incentives rarely, if ever, are the chief determinant in a business firm's decision to relocate, n80 tax incentives have become such an accepted tool in a state or local government's arsenal of economic development incentives that companies have come to expect incentives as an indication of a state's or locality's business-friendly environment. n81 On the other hand, as compared to the potential tax incentives that could have been offered, the Empowerment Zones Program's tax incentives are quite modest and are almost symbolic rather than actual inducements for business relocation or expansion. One significant limitation on the tax incentives, which will be discussed later, is that they are available only to new companies that start operations in impoverished inner-city communities or to existing companies that expand their operations to these areas. Moreover, they are simply not available to companies that relocate their operations to the designated zone areas. n82 Therefore, the number of companies that could potentially take advantage of the incentives is strikingly reduced.

[*314] As a complement to the economic development approach, Congress has provided for a community development component by authorizing the use of Social Security Block Grant ("SSBG") funds in the designated zones to prepare and enable residents to participate in the new employment opportunities and to further make the areas attractive to businesses. These programs include services such as job training, child care, transportation, financial management counseling, educational programs, and physical structure rehabilitation. n83 In concept, by improving the work-readiness of the individuals within the community, the community could be developed, its economic viability enhanced, and its revitalization anchored to secure foundations. As part of the revitalization, the statute further attempts to ensure that the development process involves community residents by: 1) requiring designated cities to follow a comprehensive strategic plan for revitalizing the target communities; and 2) requiring the affected community to be made a full partner in the process of developing and implementing the plan. n84 Department of Housing and Urban Development ("HUD") explanatory literature has expanded on the statutory language by interpreting these requirements to mean that the Empowerment Zones Program's brand of economic development is a bottom-up, community-controlled process. Under the statute, these requirements are a prerequisite for designation as an Empowerment Zone: cities must vie for designation in a competitive application process that requires each city to undergo an extensive community planning process to produce a community-designed application and strategic plan. n85 The result of this [*315] comprehensive planning process is a strategic plan for accomplishing the purposes of the legislation. As interpreted by HUD, the strategic plan is required to focus on the coordinated economic, human, community, and physical development plan, and the related activities planned for each proposed area. n86 According to four principles: 1) creating economic opportunity for an Empowerment Zone's residents; 2) creating sustainable community development; 3) building broad participation among community-based partners; and 4) describing a strategic vision for change in the community. n87 The strategic plan requirement is due, in part, to the Government Performance and Results Act, which requires federal agencies to clearly define their missions and to establish long-term strategic goals and shorter-term annual goals. n88 Strategic plans are a method of structured planning borrowed from the corporate sector that sets baselines and benchmarks for achieving specific goals and measuring progress and performance in attaining those goals. n89 Intended to limit the wasting of time, energy, and government money through unstructured, goal-less planning and activities at the community level, strategic plans require a community to set goals and standards (benchmarks) tailored to meet the needs of the community.

However, there is some debate about the applicability of corporate planning methods to cities. n90 In addition, there are serious, but common sense, questions about the strategic plan's evaluation methods. n91 The [*316] Empowerment Zones Program's strategic plan, like most if not all development projects, measures its success based on the completion of individual projects and ignores the question of whether any progress has been made towards the ultimate goal set for the program as a whole - economic wealth, parity, or even social justice. As the following discussion will demonstrate, the faith in development as a process comes not from what it has been proven to achieve but, rather, from what processes
most people feel comfortable using to address aspects of poverty and oppression deemed problematic. In the context of Empowerment Zones, this begs the question, "Why this approach?"

III. The Empowerment Zone Concept

A. Empowerment Zones and Lessons from the Past

As indicated above, the structure and emphasis of the Empowerment Zones Program reflect not only a revival of the development project in the United States as the preferred way to address conditions of poverty and economic subordination, but also a reaction to the lessons and experiences of past development programs. The period leading up to the Empowerment Zones Program had seen the domestic development project undergo various incarnations and versions throughout the twentieth century, subjecting inner-city slums to a series of projects promising to remedy unacceptable physical, economic, and social conditions. As early as the 1920s and 1930s, cities and the federal government sponsored slum clearance programs. As communities pondered the continued problem of slums and turn-of-the-century architecture began to be viewed as backwards and old-fashioned, the federal approach to remedying unacceptable living conditions in cities in the 1950s turned to a redevelopment approach, euphemistically called "urban renewal." The urban renewal approach attempted to transform old-fashioned neighborhoods and districts into modern, sanitary, and economically-exploitable places by applying the proper scientific planning tools, expertise, and knowledge. Urban renewal's approach to development was to prevent as well as eliminate slums by effectively "subsidizing the gap between the market value for existing land uses and the re-use value of land if cleared and developed." In reality, this approach to revitalization entailed replacing low-income neighborhoods, which were often black, with highways, sterile housing developments and municipal office complexes, an approach which became known as "Negro removal." Based on the belief that the causes of poverty were political as well as economic, the idea of "community action" took precedence as the way to remedy poverty during the 1960s. The Model Cities and Community Action programs in the late 1960s and early 1970s came about partially in response to urban renewal's assault on black neighborhoods and partially in response to the Civil Rights Act of 1965. These programs are most significant for their assumption that urban black poverty could be eliminated by targeting intervention solely within the ghettos. Under the Economic Opportunity Act of 1964, the federal government sponsored the Community Action Program, a local, neighborhood-based strategy. The purpose of the Act was threefold: to coordinate the many governmental anti-poverty programs (nutrition, employment, and welfare programs), to allow the poor to assume a direct role in designing and implementing responsive and effective anti-poverty programs, and to provide jobs for the poor in program administration. Hundreds of independent local organizations (community action agencies) were created to coordinate a variety of service programs including "neighborhood services, education, health, manpower, housing, social services, and economic development.” The concept of community action was initially controversial and later evolved into what has been described as "the less controversial, more palatable concept of community development": the Model Cities Program. In response to disillusionment with U.S. federal urban renewal, housing, and anti-poverty programs, the Model Cities Program was designed to address the problems of physical infrastructure within ghetto neighborhoods while drawing upon the lessons of community action and demonstrating that urban development could be inclusive of the poor. Throughout its life, however, the Model Cities Program was fraught with funding problems and struggles over community participation and control. The Model Cities Program also failed in its effort to redefine urban renewal which "tended to be controlled by large real estate and construction interests." Notwithstanding the admitted failures of the Model City Program, some of the ideas about community participation are still considered fundamental principles of urban planning. Therefore, the Model Cities Program and programs similar to it continue to resonate for some as viable and worthwhile approaches to inner-city poverty - hence the community participation and comprehensive planning elements in the Empowerment Zones Program.

The Empowerment Zones approach was initially derided for simply reviving discredited strategies from the Community Action and Model Cities era. It is true that many similarities exist. For example, both the Empowerment Zones and Model Cities approaches emphasize federal agency coordination and public-private partnerships. In addition, both approaches require a comprehensive, community-wide planning process while retaining city control of funding and governance board composition. However, the criticism is not entirely justified, as the Empowerment Zones Program clearly took some of the lessons from the Model Cities experience to heart. First, the Empowerment Zones Program was launched only as a demonstration program (i.e., on a trial basis) in order to avoid dilution of the programmatic and funding commitments among too many cities, thus allowing it to avoid some of the problems experienced by the Model Cities Program. Second, the Empowerment Zones strategy consciously and purposefully adopted an economic
development, free market approach to community development. Thus, the enterprise-zone component of the program and its laissez-faire, anti-governmental regulatory emphasis significantly distinguishes the Empowerment Zones Program from the Model Cities Program. While the enterprise-zone concept can be traced to earlier international development efforts, the emphasis had become completely devoid of any social justice or welfare concerns. n114

B. Empowerment Zones and Enterprise Zones: From Hong Kong to Washington

The enterprise zone is based on the theory that geographic areas or zones can be stimulated economically to the point of flourishing by removing economically stifling or deadening governmental regulation. n115 The "zone" idea has its origin in a "radical solution" by British geographer and urban planning expert, Peter Hall. Hall's radical solution was to address urban decline by establishing "freeports" in small, selected industrial areas of inner cities and opening them to all kinds of free market initiatives with minimal regulatory control. n116 Each area would be completely open to immigration of entrepreneurs and capital while bureaucracy and regulation would be kept to a minimum. n117 Hall's proposal was based on his observation that economic activity in Hong Kong had flourished with minimal governmental regulation, very low taxes, and minimal to nonexistent wage and labor legislation, factory safety regulation, restrictions on the import of materials used in manufacture, and restrictions on land use. n118 Notwithstanding Hall's cautionary admonition that his idea was an extreme model for exploring possible approaches and, in particular, that he did not recommend it as a solution for urban ills, the "freeport" idea was embraced by Sir Geoffrey Howe, economic spokesman for the British Conservative Party, who became the leading proponent of adopting the enterprise zone approach as the method for renovating and revitalizing abandoned industrial areas in Britain. A commentator explains that Howe believed that the best way to remove the urban blight afflicting an area like the Docklands in London was to

remove as much government as possible from a number of small areas in the most derelict and depressed sections of Britain's cities. Within these enterprise zones... taxes and government regulation would be virtually eliminated to create the most attractive possible environment for free enterprise to flourish. n119

One of the earliest critics of the enterprise zone approach, William Goldsmith, pointed out that reliance on Hong Kong and other free trade zones, such as Taiwan, Singapore, South Korea and even Puerto Rico, as the basis for the enterprise zone idea was disturbingly flawed. n120 To advocate the type of dramatic economic growth experienced by these defacto model enterprise zone countries was to advocate "recreating third world labour conditions in the midst of rich, industrialized countries, specifically Britain and the United States." n121 While business would gain from the deregulated environment, "the citizenry [would lose] protection from regulations and also the benefits of some public services." n122 Goldsmith also observed that the successful development of free-market economies in the enterprise zone countries was anomalous, these countries having prospered "for very particular reasons of history, location, and international politics." n123

When the enterprise zone, a geographically-targeted, de-regulatory approach to economic development, traveled across the Atlantic to the United States in the early 1980s, it was adapted from an experimental industrial park policy of converting abandoned and uninhabited industrial land from obsolete uses to new and more productive uses. n124 The pure theory of enterprise zones is intrinsically appealing because it is based on the assumption that there is "enormous latent potential within even the most blighted neighborhoods." n127 This appeal is especially significant because it promises to produce growth "without having to divert people and economic activity from elsewhere." n128 Therefore, adoption of the enterprise zones approach represented the best of the American attitude towards the beneficial potential of initiative and creativity.

Despite repeated efforts, enterprise zones were never successfully incorporated into federal urban policy during the
Oddly enough, however, a study of enterprise zone programs found that "virtually all state enterprise zone programs include in their eligibility criteria for zone designation measures of the economic need of individuals or households residing in the zone." n135 The study concluded that, while resident need is the implied justification for the programs, the other "stated goals and structure of most state programs are not strongly oriented toward helping needy residents or improving their neighborhoods. Rather, economic development, broadly understood, is the primary program objective." n136 The unproven assumption is that economic development is synonymous with "job creation" which will lead to an improved overall public welfare for zone residents. Other literature suggests, however, that state tax incentives provided to for-profit, private business firms are more likely to stimulate capital investment than job creation. n137 The federal investment tax credit evidence suggests that the "credit fostered the substitution by employers of physical capital for production workers, and may actually have reduced the supply of low-skilled blue collar work." n138 Moreover, "despite the considerable attention given to the enterprise zone concept... remarkably little [data exists as to] what [it has actually] accomplished." n139 Most methods used to assess the Enterprise Zones Program tend to overstate the program's impact on economic growth. n140 For example, many studies are "before and after studies" and employer surveys which, in effect, report increases in the number of jobs without accounting for jobs lost or whether those jobs were simply moved from one area to another. Further, no conclusive, or even persuasive, link is ever made between the number of jobs and the program. n141

The Empowerment Zones Program's embrace of enterprise zones reflects a troubling contradiction. The best of the Model Cities Program was its resulting emphasis on use values, such as community-based participation and programs that improve the quality of life. By contrast, the enterprise zones approach exclusively emphasizes exchange values and a commitment to furthering an ideological goal of proving that government over-regulation caused the decline of the inner city. Urban policy assertedly need only focus on eliminating regulation in order to reverse the decline by stimulating and promoting business activity. While ideology, law, and policy are never far from one another and, arguably, are in constant dialectical tension with one another, n142 the ideology promoted by the enterprise zones approach is that any latent creative potential is to be found within the private sector - not in the public sector or in the people who live within inner city communities. Thus, the goal is not to empower inner city residents or to focus wealth creation on inner city residents. It is unclear whether enterprise adherents overlooked the impact of applying these concepts to inhabited organic communities or whether it was simply that the people in those communities did not matter to such a degree that the communities were best viewed as vacant and devoid of resources. n143

Even though enterprise zones originated from a theory based on a commitment to the precepts of the free market, enterprise zones in the American context have been converted to serve the pragmatic goals of local economic development - an ongoing project of local governments. n144 As discussed above, apart from tax breaks, enterprise zones in the United States rarely, if ever, involve the elimination of local governmental regulatory controls. n145 Presumably, enterprise zones can involve regulatory waivers as needed, particularly as demanded by specific agreements with particular business firms. Because enterprise zones provide a useful vehicle in which to package and market already existing economic development tools and incentives, the zone concept is nevertheless compelling to localities responding to the twin imperatives of the demands of capital and the failures of persistent urban decline and unemployment.
The foregoing discussion has extensively analyzed development programs as methods for attaining beneficial change. Unfortunately, these programs have yet to meet intended goals. This failure typically prompts little critical analysis other than to continue the quest for new development techniques that can avoid past failures. The quest for the perfect urban economic development technique cannot succeed, however, unless development, in particular as embodied in the Empowerment Zones Program, is fully contextualized within the lived reality of the city. The relevant lived reality of the city extends beyond the boundaries of impoverished inner-city communities - often the exclusive focus of attention - to the entire city and metropolitan region. A proper appreciation of the economic, legal, and social processes that determined our current metropolitan configuration - as well as a system of relations that is understood and practiced by all - is crucial for beginning to reimagine development.

Understanding the places where Empowerment Zones are located is important for understanding the challenges facing an economic development program. The international development literature demonstrates the importance of not universalizing the development concept without taking "place" and "space" into account. n146 This is because the relationships between people and places will impact how a program will function. As a "place-based" strategy, n147 rather than [n327] providing direct benefits to individuals in the form of direct transfer payments such as welfare or social security, n148 the Empowerment Zones Program focuses federal financial aid and regulatory priority on the communities in which the urban poor live - i.e., the place and space that they occupy. n149 In order to be eligible for designation, each city must select a carved-out geographic area within its boundaries that meets stringent maximum area and population limits, as well as minimum poverty, unemployment and general-distress standards. n150 The geographic and population criteria were intended to ensure that nominated zones were discrete areas within the city so that an entire city did not become a zone. n151 Accordingly, based on census tract data, n152 an eligible area must have a significant poverty rate that is widespread throughout the zone "has become entrenched or intractable over time." n153 [n328]

As an alternative, the city can demonstrate that no portions of the geographic area contain any component areas of an "affluent character." n154 The area must also be characterized by unemployment or severe economic conditions due to plant or military base closings, n155 and meet criteria of general distress, such as a "high incidence of crime, narcotics use, homelessness, abandoned housing, and deteriorated infrastructure or substantial population decline." n156

While a number of applicant cities had territory that easily met the objective federal criteria, the original eight cities selected for Empowerment Zone status were selected on the strength of their proposed strategic plans: Atlanta, Baltimore, Chicago, Detroit, Philadelphia/Camden, n157 New York City, Cleveland and Los Angeles. n158 While all different, the cities bear a striking resemblance to one another. Each city has geographic areas that are home to significant numbers of economically impoverished people. Such areas are referred to by various names: the inner city, the center city, the barrio, the ghetto, or the "hood." Not only do they share a common impoverished present but also a common vibrant industrial or mercantile past. The majority of Empowerment Zone cities were formerly leading cities of America's Manufacturing Belt, more recently referred to as "the Rustbelt.” n159 The decline of America’s industry meant that these cities changed from "overcrowded manufacturing centers to partially abandoned, post- [n329] industrial cities in the global economy.” n160

B. Globalization and the Changing Nature of Cities

Globalization is a relevant social and economic construct for understanding a much-discussed world-wide economic, social, and cultural phenomenon whose precise dimensions and exact definition are contested. n161 On a cultural level, globalization manifests itself as "[a] social process in which the constraints of geography on social and cultural arrangements recede and in which people become increasingly aware that they are receding.” n162 On an economic level, it is an international economic shift to a geographically dispersed but globally integrated economy. Accordingly, from the North American point of view, globalization has meant increased international economic competition that undercut high-priced, American-based manufacturing and caused manufacturing to shift first to the Southern and Western U.S. and then overseas to countries with very low wages. n163 Locally, globalization is considered to have impacted the ability of local places to make decisions addressing their economic needs in the face of hyper-mobile capital and fixed cities. n164 Whatever the explanation, important changes in the organization of labor and urban life have taken place throughout the world. While global trade and competition have existed for centuries, rapid changes in technology, and in particular communication, have contributed to the hyper-mobility of capital, resulting in a decentralized relationship between capital and labor. n165 Under the current, service-dominated U.S. economy, cities' major employers are now financial, educational, and health care institutions. n166 As [n330] administrative arms of major corporations have remained in the U.S., the economy has reshaped itself to meet the service needs of the new global economic elite.
Therefore, local urban economies that necessarily emphasize expensive information services, communications, personal services, restaurants, and advertising have given rise to an essentially bifurcated society and economy. This has lead to cities characterized by a growing dualization between extreme wealth and extreme poverty. n167 Highly skilled, professional jobs fall into the primary sector, characterized by high wages and privileges. Secondary sector jobs are, more often than not, characterized by low wages, are often temporary, and do not offer benefits. n168

In the face of a decentralizing world, cities have competed with one another to shore up their economic base in the form of local economic development, utilizing a variety of tactics designed to make local areas hospitable and attractive to private industry and business. This approach has evolved from focusing exclusively on "smokestack chasing" (i.e., trying to restore the eroded manufacturing base by enticing industry to relocate to a particular jurisdiction) to a current emphasis on attempting to identify and occupy a particular niche within the national and regional economy. n169 A significant part of the local economic development project involved expanding and reconfiguring the central business district or downtown using federal urban renewal funds, from the late 1940s until the early 1970s. n170 and Community Development Block Grant funds and Urban Development Action Grants, during the 1970s and early 1980s. n171 Urban renewal, in particular, provided federal funds to allow cities to condemn and acquire "slum" properties and assemble them into large parcels of land to be redeveloped into more suitable [*331] uses. n172 Cities were dramatically affected as ailing business districts or former warehouse districts were replaced with gleaming office towers, luxury hotels, convention centers, and other recreation facilities. n173 In fact, local economic development is specifically structured to meet the high-end service, entertainment, and shopping needs of the "global elite" as well as to promote tourism to attract suburban visitors. This emphasis has converged with the spectacular rebirth of formerly ailing central business districts in the form of newly gilded downtown areas organized around showcasing the entertainment, sports, and cultural resources of cities in the form of sports arenas, convention centers, and festival market places replicated throughout the country: n174 the Inner Harbor in Baltimore, surrounded by two new sports stadiums; the Riverwalk in San Antonio, with a promenade of upscale restaurants and shops; South Street Seaport in New York City, with an array of specialty food shops and novelty vendors in a converted fish market; Quincy Market in Boston; and Union Station in Washington D.C., with a gold-leaf trimmed, high-end shopping mall and an array of restaurants.

Nevertheless, many cities are increasingly characterized by an incredibly glaring duality - extreme wealth and extreme poverty. n175 The rise of the gilded downtown (subsidized and funded with public dollars, predicated on an unfulfilled promise that downtown revitalization would lead to economic benefits for the entire city) has failed to benefit the neighborhoods that are home to the poorest residents of the cities. While urban renewal certainly allowed cities to transform their economies in the light of the disappearance of manufacturing jobs, a great many of the newly created jobs benefitted primarily suburban commuters. n176 Moreover, urban renewal often eliminated poor and working class neighborhoods and replaced them with high-rise, luxury structures for the more affluent while concentrating public housing sites within black neighborhoods. n177 Often poor neighborhoods just outside the revitalized [*332] business districts benefit, if at all, only to the extent that the neighborhoods become attractive to young urban professionals. However, the gentrification usually results in displacement of the existing residents who are forced to seek affordable housing elsewhere. n178 Poor inner-city neighborhoods have not benefited from the economic development going on around them because economic development promotes capital accumulation and mobility that intentionally bypasses poor neighborhoods. n179 Redevelopment policies, historically geared at containing the ghetto, were so successful that, today, economic development activities need not be deliberately geared at bypassing the ghetto - they do so as a matter of practical common sense. n180

C. Impact of External Forces on the Central City

As globalization and technology have reorganized the nature and location of productive, financially rewarding work and have skewed the economy into dual sectors, the spatial consequences of globalization have been determined by decentralizing forces existing at the local level. Poverty in particular places generally begins not only with inequalities in global capitalist production but also in societal patterns and conventions of discrimination. n181 In different geographic contexts, this translates into striking geographic variations in life opportunities for different people. n182 Accordingly, the contours and conditions of inner city places cannot be understood solely as a function of the activities that have or have not taken place within the cities. Instead, the surrounding [*333] regional context, federal policy, and local government fragmentation, as well as the privatization of services, have each had a significant impact on the urban inner city's condition. The contours and conditions of urban inner cities have been shaped through a confluence of local decentralizing events, influenced by state and federal policies and generally promoting the movement of millions of
people outwards to an ever-extending periphery of the metropolitan region. As new production, transportation, and communication technologies have reduced or eliminated the physical need to be geographically close to one's place of work or business and have increased the distances that are part of daily life, populations within central cities have begun to decline as people moved from the cities to the suburbs, subsidized by the mortgage interest tax deduction. Bank redlining and Federal Housing Administration policies perpetuated and skewed this out-migration for many years by devaluing neighborhoods that were racially mixed and by refusing to insure or make available mortgages for neighborhoods occupied by blacks. Suburbanization has increased the movement from central cities and inner-ring suburbs to outer suburbs in decreasingly dense settlement patterns - have been supported by federal highway and transportation policies and state and local government law that allow locally fragmented government to practice fiscal and racially exclusionary zoning. Through large-lot rules and other regulations, land use controls promote high-end, single-family use and prevent less-costly, multifamily housing. Local government law has facilitated the contours, race, and class makeup of the metropolitan region. Notions of localism reinforce geographical inequalities with political power to the benefit of affluent localities and to the detriment of poorer communities. By allowing suburbs to incorporate as autonomous municipalities regardless of the motivation or impact on surrounding communities, by limiting cities' ability to annex surrounding suburbs, by allowing suburban cities to utilize state-granted powers to control land use through zoning, and by taxing suburbs and using the proceeds for the exclusive benefit of suburbs, local government has been the facilitator of the decentralization of people and jobs to the suburbs - leaving central cities, and increasingly older, inner ring suburbs, to cope with shrinking tax bases and higher concentrations of poverty, crime, and the need for services. While many of the policies that gave rise to current metropolitan configurations were carried out specifically for racially discriminatory reasons or in a manner that was detrimental to black people, the race factor has been neutralized into policies that continue to have a racially segregative effect but are facially neutral. Therefore, a shifting, yet enduring, context of fear of otherness defined by race and class continues to exist and operate.

D. The Significance of Racially Neutral Criteria for Inner City Boundaries and Racialized Space

While the criteria used for Empowerment Zone designation is textually race-neutral, the eligible areas in cities happen to be racially and economically concentrated in startlingly consistent patterns from city to city throughout many cities in the U.S. and, as the discussion below will show, conveniently play the same spatial role in each city. Each eligible Empowerment Zone is located in a geographic area that is hyper-segregated, not only by poverty, but by race - comprised of concentrated populations of poor black people ranging from thirty-seven percent to ninety percent. By geographically targeting its efforts to address the poverty experienced in these neighborhoods, Empowerment Zones were created on the side of a boundary line with significant social and racial meaning. In the cities, a strict line of demarcation - between central business district and neighborhood, between affluence and poverty, between places to be sought out and places to be avoided, between optimism and frustration, or simply between black and white - clearly signals to all the difference between those who simply "live in the city" versus those who are "inner city." As the discussion of the forces that gave shape to the ghetto demonstrates, the hyper-concentration of poverty along racial lines is not simply an accident of the market or individual choice. Studies illustrate the contrast between segregation of the black and impoverished versus the white and impoverished. In a typical metropolitan area, most black and poor families are concentrated in ghetto neighborhoods while most white and poor families are scattered throughout suburban areas. While the characterization of either race or class as the determining factor of concentrated poverty is debated, the fact remains that Empowerment Zones are being offered to remedy conditions in particular places that have taken on a racialized character with significant spatial significance. As Edward Soja has explained, analysis of space is important because it "enables us to see more clearly the long-hidden instrumentality of human geographies, in particular, the encompassing and engaging spatializations of social life" that occur in the city. In other words, space serves as the physical manifestation of relationships. Contemplating the spatial role played by the geographic areas that are Empowerment Zones provides crucial insight into the relationships that take place in the city and the impact of those relationships on the Empowerment Zones Program's potential to meet its stated goals. Because the racially segregated city is "a racialized social structure [that] frames racialized experience and conditions racialized meaning,"
n209 the black racialized space of the ghetto is a place that provides an identifiable and contained site where the "Other" is located. n210 A program that is predicated on helping the Other without really contemplating the relationship that the dominant society has with the Other is inadequate because it pretends away important barriers to the stated goal, barriers that are insurmountable as long as they remain unacknowledged or deliberately ignored.

E. Images of the Places that are Empowerment Zones: Segregation and Marginalization

The Empowerment Zones Program's goal is to attract businesses back to the city into places that are racialized black and classified poor. Black racialized space is regarded as extremely poor, overcrowded, dangerous, dilapidated, and threatening to property values unless contained. n211 This is the case regardless of whether a particular black neighborhood is in fact as bad as it is regarded. The process of racializing space is distinct from the fact that a neighborhood or area might be inhabited by people of one race. Racializing space effectively demarcates particular areas not only based on racial identity but also imposes popular stereotypes, anxieties, and concerns on these places. n212 The role of these places in the popular imagination justifies their subordination and oppression. The ghetto is a place that makes the places outside of it (whether affluent central-city neighborhoods, the suburbs, or exurbs) a desirable refuge and a safe haven. Racialized space also provides a stark point of reference that can make onlookers more content with where they are in their lives.

n213 Black racialized space is also space that people usually leave as soon as they are able: moving up means moving out. n214 The irony of development programs designed to restore ghetto space back to its prior glory is that those who are successfully aided to obtain employment will usually be inclined to move out. n215 Nevertheless, those who leave often move to suburban neighborhoods that are predominantly black. Examples are neighborhoods like Baldwin Hills in Los Angeles, California, Upper Marlboro, in Prince George's County Maryland, and Shaker Heights outside of Cleveland, Ohio - all of which reflect the results of affirmative action: increased purchasing power accompanied, ironically, by a growing suburbanization trend for middle class blacks over the past twenty-five years. n216 While black suburban neighborhoods are solidly middle class and, in many cases, quite affluent, they are nevertheless areas that are racialized black and are devalued as compared to similar predominantly white neighborhoods in terms of property values and business and retail services.

n217 Places that have been racialized black and classified poor suffer devaluation to such an extent that they are significantly marginalized. The life and the work of the city happens around these neighborhoods, often nearby but nevertheless outside. Communities receiving the most significant attention are those areas that have gentrification potential because they are located next to or close to the central business district. n218 Otherwise, they are disconnected from activities and social interactions that give life to the city. This marginalization is perhaps the most dangerous form of oppression because it subjects an entire category of people to severe material deprivation, or possibly extermination, because it banishes them from productive participation in social life.

While it is indisputable that Empowerment Zone areas suffer from very high rates of unemployment and have experienced serious business disinvestment, economic development, in general, and the approach of Empowerment Zones, in particular, treats the economic and social conditions within these neighborhoods and their resulting marginalization as an internally driven condition. This necessarily leads to a focus on replacing missing jobs or bolstering social services such as job-skills training and day-care services. Promoting business expansion and economic growth logically follows as the most sensible way to alleviate the problems of the ghetto. This internally driven view of marginalization is defied by external realities. Because the Empowerment Zones Program fails to acknowledge the structural issues confronting ghetto communities - as well as the spatial and ideological biases of economic development, this program and others similar to it are unlikely to ever appropriately address the oppression and subordination experienced by these communities.

A critical theory of social justice is a useful starting point for adopting the dialectical perspective necessary to allow us to move past looking at the problems of impoverished inner city neighborhoods as simply an internally driven and internally remediable problem. A critical examination must include often-ignored social structures and institutional context that nevertheless often determine distributive patterns. n220 Because our dominant conception of justice currently exists within the limited confines of a distributive paradigm of justice, we tend to think exclusively about social justice based "on the allocation of material goods such as things, resources, income, and wealth, or on the distribution of social positions, especially jobs." n221 This leads to "talk of justice that regards persons as primarily possessors and consumers of goods to a wider context that also includes action, decisions about action, and provision of the means to develop and exercise capacities." n222 In particular, a critical theory of social justice allows us to look beyond issues of distribution directly at forms of oppression that are relevant to the lived urban reality of affluence and poverty in the city.
While oppression has several dimensions, n223 the most illuminating aspects of oppression as applied to poor ghetto neighborhoods and the economic development remedies that are practiced there are marginalization, cultural imperialism, and exploitation. n224 Cultural imperialism universalizes a dominant group's experience, culture, and meanings in a way that renders the perspective of another group invisible while, at the same time, marking them as the deviant and inferior Other. n225 The injustice of exploitation moves beyond a narrow Marxian vision of class exploitation and encompasses other aspects of exploitation based on race or even gender. n226 It "consists in social processes that bring about a transfer of energies from one group to another to produce unequal distributions, and in the way in which social institutions enable a few to accumulate while they constrain many more." n227 Therefore, the oppression faced by ghetto communities that have been racialized black and classified poor is that they are regarded as deviant and inferior, often are subjected to programs that are beneficial to others and less beneficial to them, and, most seriously of all, abandoned to suffer from a marginalization that threatens to permanently cut them off from the rest of society.

V. The Internal Critique Of the Empowerment Zones Program

A. Job Essentialism and the Rhetoric of the Empowerment Zones Approach

The first issue critical theory raises with respect to the Empowerment Zones approach is the extent to which it adopts an essentializing rhetoric of "jobs" that reduces the problems of ghetto poverty to a unidimensional problem. This rhetoric exercises a hegemonic force to get inner-city residents to uncritically accept the program's terms because very few are situated to critically assess the idea of jobs, regardless of the reality. Economic development in general, and in particular as envisioned by the Empowerment Zones Program, is primarily justified by the distributive promise of jobs. Because living in central city ghetto or high poverty neighborhoods restricts meaningful access to employment opportunities for black and Latino people in inner-city neighborhoods, due to hyper-segregation and business disinvestment, jobs seem to be the logical antidote. n228 Spatial-mismatch [n244] theories generally attribute lack of proximity to jobs as a cause of unemployment, while other explanations focus more closely on the individual behavioral patterns of black inner-city residents. n229 For example, the lack of economic opportunities available to black inner-city residents has been connected to their social isolation which impacts both connections to employment opportunities and readiness for or inclination to work. n230 Social connections to the mainstream individuals and institutions that provide information about job opportunities and model good working habits is more important than trying to reduce the spatial distance from work to home. n231 Skills-mismatch theories emphasize the increasing mismatch between the skills possessed by residents of low-income communities and the skills required by jobs that dominate the central city economy. n232

Although it is beyond the scope of this Article to resolve this particular dispute, it is important to note that the Empowerment Zones Program addresses the "employment" problem by incorporating all three types of approaches: business development, skills training, and job-readiness training. Nevertheless, the overall approach to the mal-distribution of employment opportunities is a static spatial approach: picking a narrow target beneficiary area and attempting to encourage potential employers to choose an Empowerment Zone as a location to operate a new company or a new branch of an existing company. The wisdom of this approach is questionable for a number of reasons. First, the reality of the relationship between jobs and economic development is somewhat counterintuitive: economic development is not synonymous with employment development. n233 Instead, economic development is a process designed primarily, if not exclusively, to meet the needs of business elites by encouraging capital investment in particular geographic areas through incentives. n234 Jobs of a particular type, quantity, or duration are not guaranteed and, in fact, are simply a possible secondary outcome and concern. The quality jobs produced in most economic development projects often come from firms that require highly skilled primary sector employees and are thus relevant to only highly educated or trained people. More typically, the jobs found in the typical convention center, sports stadium, or festival-marketplace are low-skilled, service jobs that pay relatively low wages, insufficient to support a family. n235

Even at the level of community economic development, the overall job benefits have not been clearly demonstrated. For example, David Rusk's study of community economic development suggested that this approach did not have an economic impact on ghetto neighborhoods in the absence of gentrification from an influx of affluent young, urban, and mostly white professionals. n236 Rusk studied thirty-four community economic development programs in the United States and found that the average household income improved in only three - Jamaica Plain, Boston; Walnut Hills, Cincinnati; and Mission District, San Francisco - all driven mainly by gentrification. n237 How are we to reconcile Rusk's conclusions with the many inspiring examples of successfully completed community development projects? n238 One explanation: While the [n346] success of those projects tends to be measured on the successful completion of each individual project, there is relatively little critically analytical literature assessing the overall impact and efficacy of
community development as a national project. n239

The most significant criticism of the Empowerment Zones Program immediately followed the adoption of the program from one commentator who argued that community development is a myth that diserves ghetto communities which, instead, need service provision. n240 Because the Empowerment Zones approach conceives of the problems of the poor ghetto neighborhoods and residents as a problem of jobs, it does the communities a disservice by ignoring economic development's dubious job-creating capacity and it simply misunderstands the oppression of geographic space racialized black and classified poor. In other words, it disregards the real problem – the subordinating structure of relations between elite and marginalized communities within cities.

A second criticism of the Empowerment Zones Program's job emphasis is the fact that the benefits of the program are tied to particular places, thereby connoting that existing boundaries of ghetto neighborhoods should be respected and maintained. This aspect of the program, in effect, imposes a federal geography reinforcing existing spatial boundaries and demarcation lines between ghetto communities and the rest of the city. This is because federal funding establishes a development framework that acts as a disincentive to question these boundaries and an incentive to respect them as acceptably fixed and unchangeable. Also, the Empowerment Zones Program's benefits are tied to the zone residents' continued residence in and connection to marginalized neighborhoods. n241 Making benefits available based on place of residence threatens to further harden those boundaries. For example, an employee within an Empowerment Zone who was hired by a business because of the tax incentives designed to encourage the hiring of zone residents would no longer be eligible for those incentives if the employee were to move. n242

[*347] A third criticism of the job emphasis is the underlying assumption that job creation efforts within an Empowerment Zone will not result in increased employment of ghetto residents. n243 A study conducted in the Red Hook section of Brooklyn, New York demonstrated that Red Hook residents were unable to obtain relatively low-skilled manufacturing jobs in their own neighborhoods because social networks, rather than employment proximity, were more crucial to employment. n244 The implication of the Red Hook study for the Empowerment Zones Program is that proximity will not necessarily lead to the desired goal of increased employment within the zones. While the Empowerment Zones Program does contain some flexibility to use program funds for building social networks, it and similar economic development programs continue to enjoy a troubling level of uncritical support simply by virtue of the persuasiveness and allure of the "jobs" promise. The exclusive focus on jobs as the essential factor missing from poor inner-city communities suggests that a lack of jobs is the only problem experienced by poor neighborhoods that should be addressed. When there is a hunger and unmet need for employment, all critical examination of economic development as a sensible investment of public resources becomes impossible. n245 In particular, the extent to which economic development meets the needs of private business firms for capital investment while, at the same time, imparting a less than satisfactory benefit to inner-city residents must be critically examined. Justifying the Empowerment Zones Program on the promise of jobs is based on the seemingly inevitable logic of promoting economic growth and development even though it will not inure to the benefit of the targeted communities. Rather, it obscures the true beneficiaries – established business and governmental elites – while unfairly and unjustly playing upon the desperation of those who have no other choice.

[*348]

B. The Empowerment Zones Program's Reflection of New Federal Economic Development Policy: No Business Relocation to the Inner Cities Allowed

The Empowerment Zones Program's eligibility requirements create a troubling condition. As mentioned earlier, Empowerment Zone funds and incentives are only available to newly created, startup businesses or to existing companies that have expanded to create a new branch or office within the Empowerment Zone. Moreover, as part of each city's application, the strategic plan is required to recite that the plan "does not include any action to assist any establishment in relocating from one area outside the [Empowerment Zone] to the [Zone], except that assistance for the expansion of an existing business entity through the establishment of a new branch, affiliate, or subsidiary is permitted." n246 Further, assistance to any such new entity is permitted only if establishment of the new entity will not result in a decrease in employment in the area of original location or in any other area where the existing business entity conducts business operations, and there is no reason to believe that the new [entity] is being established with the intention of closing down the operations of the existing business entity in the area of its original location or in any other area where the existing business entity conducts business operation. n247

The statute, in effect, requires a guarantee that the business firm has not relocated from another area to the
Empowerment Zone. Thus, the Empowerment Zone incentives are only available to businesses that are new or expand to create a new office in the Zone. This relocation retardant was included to calm suburban representatives’ fears that federal incentives would be used to lure businesses from suburban locations.

As a matter of economic development policy, this restrictive provision of funds and incentives contradicts the central basis of economic development, which is the accepted goal of luring businesses with competitive incentives to particular geographic areas that will be more advantageous and make the new location worthwhile to the company. With respect to the Empowerment Zones Program in particular, it means that the inner city economic development incentives, to the extent that they could have worked, are weakened further in their potential effect. Moreover, a study conducted by the General Accounting Office reported that three out of the eight federal economic development programs have outright prohibitions on the use of federal funds to relocate jobs.

One possible justification is that the federal government is, as a matter of policy, acknowledging some of the potentially destructive effects of unfettered geographic competition for business relocation. As a matter of timing and historical sequence, however, the relocation retardant, as applied to the inner cities, is at the very least ironic because one of the causes of inner city decay was the relocation of jobs, often to suburban locations. As a result, two standards for economic development have been created: one for cities and one for suburbs. When jobs fled the cities facilitated by state and federal policies and subsidies, relocation was an accepted process of inevitable change. When the Empowerment Zones Program and other federal programs threaten to reverse some of what was lost, by encouraging relocation from suburb to city, economic development is transformed into a denuded process that cannot provide incentives to compete geographically. Clearly, inner-city marginalization is not aided by the relocation retardant. Instead, inner-city marginalization is reinforced by a federal policy that fortifies the decentralizing forces that benefited the suburbs to the detriment of the city. Moreover, the retardant suggests the exploitative nature of Empowerment Zones Program’s promise that it is a program to provide jobs. To the extent that the existing tax and financial incentives could attract a company to relocate and, thereby, provide decent jobs, the relocation retardant means companies cannot relocate and take advantage of the business incentives. Therefore, the job promise becomes even more illusory.

VI. Conclusion: The Geography Of Economic Development: Addressing The Structural Marginalization of the Inner City

Rather than being abandoned, as with most poststructural theory, development must be analyzed in terms of contradiction, indeed ransacked, to liberate its potentially emancipatory intentions, technologies, and practices.

Economic development has captured the popular imagination of the state as well as the community as a desirable policy for producing wealth and economic prosperity. As a policy for revitalization of black ghetto communities, economic development clearly will never deliver what it promises: economic transformation through self-help and hard work. There are three principle reasons. First, as the foregoing discussion has demonstrated, the problems experienced by ghetto communities are not all internally-driven. They are a product of external forces – metropolitan, technological, and global – that have all operated to eliminate the traditional job and population bases in the inner cities, transferring some of these jobs to other regions of the United States and the world, accompanied by a decentralization of population and jobs to the peripheries of metropolitan areas. Second, economic development is not a job development process, but, in truth, is a capital production process. Therefore, it always has worked, and probably always will work, primarily to benefit the interests of the business elite. While some benefits may accrue to non-business, non-elite people, the jury is out on whether economic development passes an "ends justifies the means" test. Third, it is unlikely that enough jobs will ever return to the inner cities to replace the ones that left. Absent personal or social network connections, employers are reluctant to hire inner-city residents. Thus, bringing the jobs to the cities is simply not enough.

The searing question, therefore, is whether economic development in general, or the Empowerment Zones approach in particular, can be made to work as a tool of social justice – in particular, in poor inner-city communities that are heavily segregated by race, ethnicity and poverty. By drawing together the different contextual dimensions of the problems facing poor inner city communities a correspondingly contextually sensitive remedy begins to become clearer. In particular, post-civil rights paradigms must begin to conceive of ways of addressing the racially disadvantaging effects of race, space, and place: the geography of economic development. The current geography of economic development is one in
which economic development is designed and intended to be carried out in particular ways in particular places: privately and exclusively in central business districts on the other side of the line demarcating the difference between the inner city and the rest of the city. By drawing upon existing geographic boundaries that reflect the divided relationship between the people who live on either side of the boundary, the Empowerment Zones Program recognizes and implicitly affirms existing configurations of poverty segregated by race or ethnicity. It threatens to harden these boundaries beyond all hope of remedy because the program ignores current structural and historical policies that have shaped and configured our racialized landscape. Even where it is clear that the status quo works to the absolute disadvantage of these communities, plans and policies persist in promoting them as the opposite: advantageous and essential. This narrow focus on the problems of impoverished geographic areas ignores the impact of their racialization and classification as Other within the city as well as the metropolitan area. It also ignores a certain level of contentment that the larger society has for the conditions because these neighborhoods provide somewhere to get away from.

Overcoming the severe shortcomings inherent in the geography of economic development requires moving beyond a way of thinking that trivializes the oppression of racially and ethnically segregated communities as a problem of mere distribution of jobs. Under this prevailing view, the only role for urban policy is to ensure an improved distribution of low wage service jobs through a process that validates business development as being the only hope left for poor communities. The individualized and privatized notion of economic development, which views obstacles solely as a matter of individual failure, views the persistence of poor economic and social conditions in segregated communities as an individual and private problem. Thus, individual residents within Empowerment Zone communities, by definition, have failed personally because of their own failure to keep up. Similarly, inner-city communities have failed because they have failed to keep up with the competition. Just as programs that address internal impediments to change or social justice are important, so too are external impediments to change. Therefore, in order to have an Empowerment Zone program that is of benefit to poor urban communities, fundamental structural issues must be confronted. n251

A simple way to confront the structural issues is to ask a critical question: Can the Empowerment Zones approach reduce inner-city neighborhood marginalization and return a whole category of place into the mainstream of social and economic life? Ironically, the Empowerment Zones Program's utilization of a geographically targeted economic development program does not reduce marginalization but, in fact, reinforces and exacerbates ghetto marginalization for a number of reasons. The chief reason is the program's failure to address the underlying structural reasons for the depressed economic and social conditions existing in the inner-city neighborhoods - the impact of decentralized forces in the metropolitan region reinforced by federal policy, and the fragmented organizational structure of local government - both of which are tainted by racism. First, as urban sprawl relocates persons to the periphery of metropolitan areas, further and further away from the core, the core inevitably suffers from depleted financial, social, and human resources that have relocated to the suburbs. n252 Second, fragmented local government erects jurisdictional boundaries that insulate wealthier suburban areas from any responsibility for the communities and people within cities that are left behind. n253 Third, both historical and current policies further contribute to inner-city marginalization by insulating and benefitting suburban communities. These policies include FHA policies limiting public housing to the inner cities and certain neighborhoods in the inner city, exclusionary zoning, bank redlining, insurance redlining, public housing concentration, and transportation policies that continue to fund highway development into outlying areas. If we view neighborhoods not as autonomous, self-sufficient entities capable of self-sustaining economic development but as parts of metropolitan regions, it becomes clear that continuing growth of the metropolitan region through urban sprawl, facilitated by federal subsidies that make continued expansion possible, must be taken into account. So long as suburban dominance of our metropolitan regions in terms of population, business operations, and political clout is taken for granted as inevitable and immutable, an Empowerment Zones approach [*353] will never have incentives strong enough to counteract that acceptance.

Finally, the structural causes and reinforcement of concentrated inner city poverty can only be addressed by understanding that suburban advantage or privilege will be affected by any urban program truly designed to impact the problem of concentrated poverty.

Notwithstanding this seemingly bleak analysis, community-based development cannot be completely abandoned. The opportunity to help oneself is critical to human social development, if not to mere existence. Making available the tools to identify problems in one's community and to remedy them are crucial, mainly because small, unexpected miracles can be achieved by dint of human dedication and commitment. Nevertheless, the provision of these tools does not replace the need for critically assessing community-based development.
In its most favorable light, the Empowerment Zones Program is a federal attempt to provide the tools of community-based development to inner-city communities. This effort is valuable, especially given all of the attention and fanfare the program’s launch and implementation brought to these communities. On the other hand, the fanfare and promises of economic transformation promised too much. The conditions in the inner cities came about through a slow progression and, likely, will require an equally long progression to fix. Moreover, while recognizing that people should have the opportunity to work to improve, at least incrementally, their living conditions, the Empowerment Zones Program unnecessarily limits permissible projects and activities to those that can be characterized as being in furtherance of economic development. Development should be held to a higher standard - one of actually allowing residents of impoverished neighborhoods the capacity to pursue self-development and self-determination according to projects they identify. n254

The answer may lie simply in a willingness to understand that development needs to be reimagined in ways that it does not currently exist today - reimagined in ways that reflect the needs and desires of ordinary people and disregard the unspoken demarcation lines between affluent city and impoverished ghetto; reimagined in ways that do not continue to either isolate poor communities or effectively eliminate them through gentrification; and reimagined in ways that do not continue to allow the existing local governmental jurisdictional boundaries to block our abilities to think across those demarcation lines. One way to begin that reimagining is to begin to critically examine the accepted legal norms and legal processes of development. Critical analysis of development requires not simply assuming that its problems reflect faulty implementation strategies that can be fixed. Rather, critical analysis must address development as an inherently contradictory method of attaining social justice. As the quotation at the beginning of this section asserts, development cannot and should not be completely abandoned. Rather, it must be examined for its contradictions so that it can be redesigned and implemented to address both external and internal structures and forces that contribute to our segregated and racialized urban poverty.
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